

**Appendix D  
CHECKLIST  
Weaverville Community Fuels Reduction  
Program Timber Harvesting Plan**

**This appendix presents a checklist to be completed by a Registered Professional Forester (RPF) and submitted with each Program Timber Harvesting Plan (PTHP) that is tiered to the Program Timberland Environmental Impact Report (PTEIR) for the Weaverville community fuels reduction project. Completion of the PTHP checklist will allow determination by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CDF) as to whether the timber operations proposed in a PTHP are consistent with harvest and fuels treatment provisions described in the PTEIR. No significant environmental impacts are expected to result from implementing timber operations consistent with those described in the PTEIR as well as any mitigation measures specified in the PTEIR.**

**The sections of the checklist address physical and biological attributes of the logging area in relation to the proposed operations. The checklist contains Yes/No answers to verify conformance with the PTEIR and signifiers for identification by the RPF of any aspects of the operation that are not covered by the PTEIR and thus could result in significant environmental impacts. It also provides space for description of site-specific conditions or proposed activities.**

**The checklist does not explicitly address all of the operational standards in the California Forest Practice Rules (CFPRs), but conformance with all applicable CFPRs is implicitly verified through the RPF certification of the PTHP form that accompanies each checklist.**

## CHECKLIST

**Note: Responses in shaded areas indicate potential conflict with PTEIR specifications or mitigation measures. If exceptions to these are not accepted by CDF, preparation of a THP, MTHP, or NTMP will be necessary.**

### A. Location

1. Timber operations are entirely within the Weaverville Community Fuels Reduction Project Area? \_\_\_ Yes  No

### B. Treatment Areas

2. Harvest and fuels treatment areas are based on a combination of the physiographic, riparian, slope, homesite, and road attributes and contain specific treatments for:

Lower and middle slopes <50% slope gradient.	___	Yes	___	No	___	Acres
Lower and middle slope > 50% slope	___	Yes	___	No	___	Acres
Upper slope treatment areas - upper slopes & ridge tops, <50% slope	___	Yes	___	No	___	Acres
Upper slope treatment areas - upper slopes & ridge tops, >50% slope	___	Yes	___	No	___	Acres
Road treatment area - 100' on each side of all "public" roads	___	Yes	___	No	___	Acres
WLPZs for Class III bodies of water	___	Yes	___	No	___	Acres
WLPZs for Class I and II bodies of water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	___	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Acres
Areas within a 200' radius of improved and permitted structures	___	Yes	___	No	___	Acres

### C. Silviculture

1. Silvicultural timber harvest treatments outside of WLPZs include the following:

Commercial thinning on lower and middle slopes	___	Yes	___	No	___	Acres
Single tree selection on lower and middle slopes	___	Yes	___	No	___	Acres
Group selection on lower and middle slopes	___	Yes	___	No	___	Acres
Transition on lower and middle slopes	___	Yes	___	No	___	Acres
Fuel breaks on upper slopes and ridgetops	___	Yes	___	No	___	Acres
Fuel breaks within 100' on each side of all "public" roads	___	Yes	___	No	___	Acres
Fuel breaks within 200' of homesites/permitted structures	___	Yes	___	No	___	Acres
Clearcutting, shelterwood, alternative, or seed tree harvest in any location	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	___	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Acres

2. Silvicultural timber harvest treatments inside of WLPZs include the following:

Commercial Thinning in Class III WLPZs	___	Yes	___	No	___	Acres
Single tree selection in Class III WLPZs	___	Yes	___	No	___	Acres
Group selection in Class III WLPZs	___	Yes	___	No	___	Acres
Fuel breaks within Class III WLPZs	___	Yes	___	No	___	Acres
Any harvest within Class I or II WLPZs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	___	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Acres

3. Harvest trees have been marked by or under the supervision of the RPF. \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

4. Leave trees have been marked by or under the supervision of the RPF.  Yes  No
5. Group B species are proposed for management.  Yes  No  Acres
6. Group B or non-indigenous A species to be used to meet stocking standards.  Yes  No  Acres
7. Group B species will need to be reduced to maintain relative site occupancy of A species.  Yes  No  Acres

If the answer to 5-7 is yes, list the species, describe treatment, and provide the LTO with necessary felling and slash treatment guidance.

**D. Logging Systems:**

1. Logging systems include the following:

- Tractor/skidder harvest on slopes <50%  Yes  No  Acres
- Cable yarding on slopes >50%  Yes  No  Acres
- Cable yarding on areas of high or extreme EHR  Yes  No  Acres
- Ground yarding from existing skid roads on high or extreme EHR and/or slopes >50%  Yes  No  Acres
- Ground yarding NOT from existing skid roads on high or extreme EHR and/or slopes >50%  Yes  No  Acres

2. Will tractor or other tracked equipment be used for fuels treatment in the following instances or areas?

- On slopes over 50% that are rated high or extreme EHR  Yes  No
- During the winter period  Yes  No
- On saturated soils  Yes  No
- On unstable slopes  Yes  No

3. Will tractor or other tracked equipment conduct slash treatments only along contours, on areas with high erosion hazard ratings?  Yes  No

4. Will protection of utilities be necessary?  Yes  No

If yes, check appropriate box:

- trees will be felled away from power lines.
- USA DIG will be contacted before excavations
- Other (explain)

5. Will new skid trails be constructed on slopes over 40%?  Yes  No

6. Is a winter timber operations plan attached to this PTHP in accordance with 14 CCR 934.7 (a) and (b)?  Yes  No

7. Are tractor or skidder constructed layouts to be used?  Yes  No

If yes, specify the location and extent of use:

8. Will ground based equipment be used within the area(s) designated for cable yarding?  Yes  No

If yes, specify the location and for what purpose the equipment will be used.

**E. Visual Resources:**

1. The RPF has specified retention of mature trees and clumps of other vegetation to mitigate the effects of harvest operations on the visual aesthetics of neighboring homesites and non-appurtenant roads that are used by multiple owners or residents.  Yes  No  N/A

2. Treatment prescriptions specify retention of a variety of size classes of vegetation in defensible space areas around home sites, including clumps of vegetation that provide visual screening, while meeting the design objectives of Technical Rule Addendum No. 4: "Minimum Distances required by Law for Fire Safe THP Vegetation Treatment of the CA Forest Practice Act".  Yes  No  N/A

3. Within 200' of permitted structures and 100' of public roads, will trees over 20' tall be pruned to 6' from the ground surface?  Yes  No  N/A

4. Within shaded fuel breaks, will a variety of size classes of vegetation be retained to mitigate effects to visual resources and recreation?  Yes  No

**F. Traffic**

1. Will "log truck traffic" signs be posted on Weaver Bally Road, Oregon Street, Democrat Gulch Road, Mill Street, East Weaver Road, China Road, Bear Creek Road, Little Browns Creek Road, and/or Browns Mountain Road during periods of log hauling?  Yes  No  N/A

2. Have encroachment permits been obtained for work in CalTrans or County rights-of-way?  Yes  No  N/A

**G. Pests:**

1. Within the PTHP area there are insect, disease or pest problems of significance?  Yes  No

If yes, describe the proposed measures to improve the health, vigor, and productivity of the stand(s).

**H. Soil Stabilization**

1. Are any soil stabilization measures or additional erosion control measures to be implemented in addition to the standard waterbreak requirements?  Yes  No

If yes, identify additional measures to be implemented (See requirements of 14 CCR 936.7, 943.2(m), and 943.5(f)):

2. Has the RPF recommended re-stocking in order to mitigate potential soil erosion?  Yes  No

**I. Hazardous Materials**

1. Have the RPF and LTO agreed to a plan for periodic inspection and maintenance of hydraulic and fuel hoses on equipment so as to prevent leaks and breaks?  Yes  No

2. Will there be, on-site, a spill response kit capable of cleaning up 5 gallons or more of fuel, hydraulic oil, or other fluids where grease, oil, fuels, or other similar materials could pass into lakes or watercourses?  Yes  No

3. Have the RPF and LTO instructed all personnel not to leave the area while equipment is being fueled?  Yes  No

**J. Alternative Practices:**

Are any alternative practices to the standard harvesting or erosion control rules proposed for this plan?  Yes  No  
(If yes, provide all the information as required by 14 CCR 934.9 in an attachment.)

List specific instructions to the LTO below:

**K. Roads and Landings**

1. Will any roads be constructed?  Yes  No

2. Will any roads be reconstructed?  Yes  No

3. Will new or reconstructed roads be wider than single lane with turnouts?  Yes  No

3. Will any landings be constructed?  Yes  No

4. Will any landings be reconstructed?  Yes  No

5. Are logging roads proposed in areas of unstable soils or known slide-prone areas?  Yes  No

6. Will new roads exceed a grade of 15% or have pitches of up to 20% for distances greater than 500 feet?  Yes  No

7. If the answer to 6 is yes, are these roads shown on an attached map?  Yes  No

8. Are roads to be constructed within the WLPZ of any Class I or II watercourse?  Yes  No
9. Are any roads to be constructed, other than at crossings, within the WLPZ of any Class III watercourse?  Yes  No
10. Are roads to be reconstructed, other than at crossings, within the WLPZ of any Class III watercourse?  Yes  No
11. Will roads be located across more than 100 feet of lineal distance on slopes over 65%, or on slopes over 50% which are within 100 feet of the boundary of a WLPZ?  Yes  No
12. Will all Humboldt crossings and/or rusting or failing culvert crossings be upgraded concurrent with operations or as otherwise agreed to by CDF?  Yes  No
13. Will any roads or watercourse crossings be abandoned?  Yes  No
14. Are exceptions proposed for flagging or otherwise identifying the location of roads to be constructed?  Yes  No

If yes, describe proposed exceptions:

15. Will any landings exceed one half acre in size or require substantial excavation?  Yes  No
16. If the answer to 15 is yes, are such areas shown on an attached map?  Yes  No  N/A
17. Are any landings proposed in areas of unstable soils or known slide prone areas?  Yes  No
18. Will any landings be located on slopes over 65% or on slopes over 50% which are within 100 feet of the boundary of a WLPZ?  Yes  No
19. If the answer to 18 is yes, will any such landings be abandoned?  Yes  No  N/A

**L. Watercourse and Lake Protection Zone and Domestic Water Supply Protection Measures**

1. Are there any watercourses or lakes which contain Class I through IV waters on or adjacent to the PTHP area?  Yes  No
2. If the answer to 1 is yes, have the watercourses been classified and mapped as per 14 CCR 1034 (x)(7)?  Yes  No  N/A
3. Are any activities or timber operations planned within a WLPZ?  Yes  No
4. If the answer to 3 is yes,  
a. Does an attached map show crossings that require mapping as per 14 CCR 1034 (x) (7)?  Yes  No  N/A

- b. Are the length and diameter of any culverts used for crossings provided on the map or elsewhere?  Yes  No  N/A
5. Is this PTHP process to be used to meet Department of Fish and Game CEQA review requirements?  Yes  No
6. If the answer to 5 is yes, is the 1603 Addendum attached?  Yes  No  N/A
- Is the background material and analysis for the 1603 Addendum attached?  Yes  No  N/A
- Are the instructions for the LTO for the installation, protection measures, and mitigation measures attached as per the Fish and Game Code?  Yes  No  N/A
7. Are any falling, skidding, fuel treatment or other operations proposed within the designated WLPZ of a Class I streamcourse?  Yes  No
8. Are any operations proposed within any non-anadromous Class I or II WLPZs except removal of dead/dying trees for public safety purposes or construction of roads at designated crossings?  Yes  No
9. Do the widths of all WLPZs conform to the table below?  Yes  No

<b>TABLE 30 WATERCOURSE AND LAKE PROTECTION ZONE WIDTHS AND ALLOWABLE PRACTICES</b>			
<b>HILLSIDE SLOPE</b>	<b>WLPZ WIDTHS EACH SIDE, (HORIZONTAL DISTANCE) <u>1/</u></b>		
	<b>Fish Bearing</b>	<b>Non-Fish Bearing</b>	<b>Intermittent</b>
	<b>Class I</b>	<b>Class II</b>	<b>Class III</b>
0-30%	75' no harvest	50' no harvest	25' <u>2/</u>
30-50%	100' no harvest	75' no harvest	50' <u>2/</u>
>50%	150' no harvest	100' no harvest	50' <u>2/</u>

1/ For cable operations on slopes over 50%, widths may be reduced by 50' for Class I watercourses and by 25' for class II watercourses.

2/ Within Class III watercourses allowable practices include any described in section 2.3 (Proposed Project) as long as they do not exceed the requirements in 936.4, 936.5 and 936.6.

12. Is heavy equipment proposed for use within any WLPZ, except for crossings?  Yes  No
13. Are all Humboldt crossings and rusting and failing culverts proposed for removal concurrent with operations or as otherwise agreed to by CDF?  Yes  No
14. Will road watering or other water use be necessary?  Yes  No

If yes, check where water will be drafted from:

- Stream site approved in the PTHP (attach a water drafting plan per 14 CCR 939.6)
- On-site pond
- Fire Hydrant
- Weaverville CSD
- Other (explain)

15. Is any part of the PTHP area within a Sensitive Watershed as designated by the Board of Forestry and Fire Protection?  Yes  No

If yes, identify the watershed and list any special rules, operating procedures or mitigation that will be used to protect the resources identified at risk:

16. Are any alternatives, exceptions, or in-lieu practices proposed for watercourse or lake protection measures, standard road and landing widths, or erosion control measures, except for use of existing roads within WLPZs after compliance with examination, evaluation, and mitigation(s) per 14 CCR 936.4(a)?  Yes  No

17. If the answer to 16 is yes, is an addendum attached detailing such measures?  Yes  No

18. Is the PTHP exempt from the requirement to file a Waste Discharge Permit?  Yes  No

(NOTE: Annex 1 of this document contains a checklist by which the submitter can determine if the PTHP is exempt from a Waste Discharge Permit. If the PTHP is not exempt, either a Waste Discharge permit or a Waiver of such a permit must be obtained from the Water Quality Control Board.)

**M. Fire Hazard Reduction**

1. Are there roads, homesites, or public roads that require slash treatment adjacent to them?

If yes, check treatments that apply:

<u>Within 100' of structure</u>	<u>Between 100-200' of structure</u>	<u>Within 100' of public road</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> chipped/masticated	<input type="checkbox"/> chipped/masticated	<input type="checkbox"/> chipped/masticated
<input type="checkbox"/> burned1/	<input type="checkbox"/> burned1/	<input type="checkbox"/> burned1/
<input type="checkbox"/> buried	<input type="checkbox"/> buried	<input type="checkbox"/> buried
<input type="checkbox"/> removed	<input type="checkbox"/> removed	<input type="checkbox"/> removed
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> lop and scatter	<input type="checkbox"/> lop and scatter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> lop and scatter
<input type="checkbox"/> other/not applicable (explain and justify)	<input type="checkbox"/> other/not applicable (explain and justify)	<input type="checkbox"/> other/not applicable (explain and justify)

2. Will slash treatment occur no later than 45 days after the creation of the slash and debris, other than for burning?  Yes  No

4. Will piling for burning occur no later than 60 days following creation of the debris?  Yes  No.

5. Will piles be burned no later than April 1 of the year following their creation?  Yes  No

6. Are there any PTEIR designated Upper Ridge areas within the PTHP area?  Yes  No

If yes, within these areas, how will all slash greater than 18" in height, and/or 3" or larger in diameter (small end) and longer than 10' in length, created by timber operations be treated? (indicate approximate percent of total Upper Ridge area to be treated by treatment method):

- chipped/masticated \_\_\_%
- crushed \_\_\_%
- piled & burned \_\_\_%
- "jack pot" burned concentrations of slash \_\_\_%
- broadcast burned \_\_\_% 1/
- removed/whole tree yarded \_\_\_%
- lopped and scattered to 18", or less, in height and 10' or less in length \_\_\_%
- to be determined during the pre-harvest inspections
- other (explain and justify)
- untreated \_\_\_%

1/ Note: Piling and burning for hazard reduction See 14 CCR 937.1-.10 for specific requirements.

7. Are there any PTEIR designated Lower and/or Middle Slope areas where fuel reduction treatments are necessary to create, in general, ground and logging slash low enough and evenly spaced enough to reduce fire intensity and rate of spread?  Yes  No

If yes, indicate the type of treatment and the percentage of the area to be treated:

- chipped/masticated \_\_\_%
- piled & burned \_\_\_%
- crushed \_\_\_%
- "jack pot" burned concentrations of slash \_\_\_%
- broadcast burned \_\_\_%
- removed/whole tree yarded \_\_\_%
- lopped and scattered to 18", or less, in height and 10' or less in length \_\_\_%
- pruned
- to be determined during the pre-harvest inspections
- other (explain and justify)

(Note: See 14 CCR 937.1-.10 for specific requirements for piling and burning for hazard reduction.)

8. Does the plan submitter have responsibility for slash treatment that is beyond that required under 14 CCR937.2?  Yes  No

If not, indicate below who has that responsibility:

- LTO
- Timber owner
- Timberland owner
- Plan Submitter
- Other (explain and justify)

9. Are any snags proposed for retention within Fuel Break prescription areas?  Yes  No

If yes, indicate why such snags do not pose a fire hazard:

10. Will vertical and horizontal separation of brush and other low growing vegetation be conducted concurrent with slash generated from commercial harvest?  Yes  No

If not, explain how fuel model objectives will be met.

11. Will pruning to 6' height or higher be done concurrent with slash generated from commercial harvest?  Yes  No

If not, explain how fuel model objectives and ladder fuel objectives will be met.

**N. Biological Resources**

1. Does the PTHP comply with established protocols for threatened and endangered species surveying requirements?  Yes  No

2. If operations under the PTHP may have adverse impacts on listed species, has a Technical Letter or appropriate consultation been completed prior to initiation of operations?  Yes  No

3. Has the RPF conducted appropriate inventories and/or implemented protection measures for sensitive, rare and threatened plant species as specified by CDF in consultation with California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG)?  Yes  No

4. Has the RPF and/or CDF informed landowners of the presence of and need for protection of listed plant species during post-harvest treatment maintenance activities?  Yes  No

3. Will the PTHP reduce northern spotted owl 50-11-40 habitat for known territories below those established by the USFWS?  Yes  No

5. Is mechanical clearing proposed in areas with CNPS 1B and 2 listed species?  Yes  No

6. Will any vegetation be disturbed within the WLPZ for Class I streams except at designated crossings or as necessary to ensure safe equipment operations?  Yes  No

7. Are any Late Succession Forest Stands proposed for harvest?  Yes  No

If yes, describe the measures to be implemented by the LTO that avoid long-term significant adverse effects on fish, wildlife and listed species known to be primarily associated with late succession forests.

8. Has the RPF or CDF proposed offsetting impacts to denning habitat by creation of additional structures?  Yes  No

If yes, complete the following checklist and quantify as requested:

- Yes  No Basal den cavities will be constructed (list approximate number) \_\_\_\_
- Yes  No Stumps will be root-sprung to create ground nesting cavity sites (list approximate number) \_\_\_\_
- Yes  No Slash piles are left for cover for game birds and other species (list approximate number) \_\_\_\_
- Yes  No Large woody debris is retained where practical and feasible (list approximate number per acre) \_\_\_\_
- Yes  No Slash piles to be left for cover for game birds and other species (list approximate number) \_\_\_\_

- Yes  No Large wood to be retained where practical and feasible
- Yes  No Cull log deck(s) will be retained to provide denning opportunities where practical and feasible
- Yes  No Other (list and explain)

**O. Air Quality**

1. Has the RPF evaluated alternative methods to burning for slash reduction and prescribed burning only when there is no feasible alternative or burning would be prohibitively expensive?  Yes  No
2. Will burning occur only on designated burn days stipulated by the North Coast Unified Air Quality Management District and with all necessary burn permits?  Yes  No
3. Has pre-burn fuel loading been reduced using other treatments?  Yes  No

If yes, specify treatments:

4. Will the following techniques be used to reduce smoke during burning?
- a. Require material to dry at least 60 days before piling  Yes  No
- b. Allow sufficient time after piling (at least 60 days) for material to dry before burning.  Yes  No
- c. Minimize soil in piles by use of brush rakes or other techniques.  Yes  No
- d. Use mass-ignition techniques that produce a short-duration fire, thereby increasing combustion efficiency and flow of smoke into the convection column.  Yes  No
- e. Prevent stumps from burning and smoldering.  Yes  No
5. Will burning be done no later than April 1 of the year following creation or one year from the date of creation, whichever comes first?  Yes  No

**P. Recreation**

1. Will timber operations occur on, or across any portion of the Weaver Basin trail system that may damage or degrade trail tread or drainage structures?  Yes  No
2. If yes, will the trail tread and drainage structures be restored?  Yes  No

Who is responsible for restoration of trail tread and drainage structures?

- LTO
- Timber owner

- Timberland owner
- Trail committee
- Other (note below)

3. Will all slash, brush, logs, or other project debris be removed from trails that are part of the Weaver Basin trail system?  Yes  No

**Q. Cultural, Archaeological, and Historical Resources**

1. Has the RPF conducted a field reconnaissance of the proposed operations area?  Yes  No

2. Has the RPF consulted the PTEIR to determine whether known significant archaeological sites have been located within the area of operations?  Yes  No

3. Is a Confidential Archaeological Addendum attached to this PTHP?  Yes  No

**R. Value-Added Material**

1. Has the RPF conducted an appraisal of the potential value that could be produced by sale of small diameter material that will be produced by fuel reduction treatments, including distance to markets, type of product (fuel wood, chips, etc.)?  Yes  No

2. If the cost of selling small diameter material to any existing market exceeds that of disposing of it along with other slash, have plans for disposal been integrated into the operation?  Yes  No

**S. Cumulative Effect**

1. Are any of the following factors applicable to this PTHP (as per CFPR 1051.1 (1)-(15))? .

a. They occur on an ownership of more than 100 acres of timberland?  Yes  No

b. More than 70% of any existing tree canopy layer is to be harvested on parcels 40 acres or less, and more than 50% on parcels 41-100 acres?  Yes  No

c. After treatment, will the canopy retained be well distributed over the harvest area? (at least 10% of the area, representative of the range of conditions present, must be sample marked before submission of the PTHP)  Yes  No

d. Will timber operations occur in Special Treatment Areas, except for log hauling on existing roads not requiring reconstruction?  Yes  No

e. Is new road construction longer than 600 feet, and/or more than 1,000 ft. total of road construction and reconstruction combined, proposed in the PTHP?  Yes  No

f. Will harvesting reduce the amount of timberland occupied by late succession forest stands greater than or equal to 5 acres in size?

Yes  No

g. Are any other rules of the Board not applicable to operations specified in this PTHP?

Yes  No

**Annex 1. Exemption from Requirements to File a Notice of Waste Discharge**

1. Has the PTHP been approved by CDF? Yes  No
1. Does the plan include operation by ground based equipment on slopes >50%? Yes No
2. Is construction of new skid trails on slopes > 40% within 200' of a watercourse proposed? Yes No
3. Is new road construction limited to 1000'? Yes No
4. Is log haul proposed on roads, other than existing permanent or seasonal roads, within a WLPZ? Yes No
5. If the answer to 2, 3, 4, or 5 is yes, is a Sediment Prevention Plan attached to the PTHP? Yes  No
6. Is timber harvest proposed on landslides and unstable areas? Yes No
7. If the answer to 4 is yes, will the areas harvested retain a 50% or greater crown closure after operations are complete? Yes  No
8. If the answer to 4 and 5 is yes, is the PTHP accompanied by a report from a California Certified Engineering Geologist? Yes  No
9. If uneven-aged management is proposed, does post harvest stocking comply with uneven-aged stocking requirements? Yes  No N/A
10. If even-aged management is proposed, is a canopy closure >75% maintained after operations? Yes  No N/A
11. Will all Class I WLPZs retain >85% canopy closure after operations? Yes  No N/A
12. Will all Class II WLPZs in 303(d) waterbodies retain >70% canopy closure after operations? Yes  No N/A
13. Are harvest activities other than hand slash work proposed between November 15 and April 1? (If yes, consult with CDF)  Yes No
14. Will fuels treatment, other than hand methods, occur during measurable rain events?  Yes No
15. Is timber harvest proposed within the channel zone of a Class III watercourse?  Yes No
16. Has a Non-Concurrence which was not resolved prior to Project Approval been filed with CDF by the Water Quality Board?  Yes No
18. Has the submitter filed a Certification Notice that is signed by the Discharger and which states the Discharger understands and intends to comply with all water quality requirements and eligibility criteria and conditions, specific and general, of the appropriate waiver category? Yes  No